

REF Review Checklist

Template Reference: DOC24/3137063 Revision 1 December 2024

\mathbf{Dr}	いへへた	ACTO!	
Γ	лысл	detai	
		G C CG	_

Project name:	Ulladulla Public School Upgrade
---------------	---------------------------------

Purpose and limitations

This checklist is intended to assist project and consultant teams in checking that Reviews of Environmental Factors (REF) appropriately assess a proposed activity and address legislative requirements. It seeks to address common requirements, does not address every potential environmental matter that may be relevant to a site and includes matters that will not be relevant to all sites/proposals. The project consultant town planner is responsible for identifying potential environmental impacts and assessment requirements to consider and mitigate potential impacts.

Adequacy review

Complete the table below to check that the REF and supporting technical investigations have adequately assessed the proposed activity.

Re	quir	rement	Υ	N	N/A	Comments
Ge	nera	al requirements				
Do	es tl	atory requirements ne REF include:	\boxtimes			
•		acknowledgement of County?]		
•		tails of:	\boxtimes			
	0	the proposed activity? need for the activity?	\boxtimes			
	0	alternatives considered, including the do-nothing				
		option?				
	0	relevant planning policies, including relevant indicative layout plans, masterplans, strategic plans or Voluntary Planning Agreements apply to the site?				
	0	how proposal relates to relevant legislation and policies?				
	0	related approvals required?	\boxtimes			
	0	relevant determining authority (i.e. the NSW Department of Education)				
•	an pla an	description of the site (including address and lot/DP) d surrounding environment using text and ans/photos including details the environmental features d planning constraints?				
•		description of land / road reserves associated with any -site works?				
•		summary of existing approvals and relevant conditions at apply to the site?				
•	ac	existing schools, confirmation that the proposed tivity does not contravene a relevant condition of nsent?				
•	an	assessment of potential impacts of the proposal?	\boxtimes			
•		summary of consultation undertaken, responses ceived and how responses were considered?				Pre notification version of REF
•		statement certifying that the contents are true and rrect?				
•	aff co Sta Bio ha	conclusion that the proposal is not likely to significantly ect the environment or threatened species, mmunities or habitats unless a Species Impact atement (SIS) (for aquatic biodiversity) or (terrestrial) odiversity Development Assessment Report (BDAR) is been prepared?				
•	a s	statement that the proposed activity qualifies as				

Re	quirement	Υ	N	N/A	Comments
	development without consent?				
•	a detailed response to the design quality principles set out in the T&I SEPP?	\boxtimes			
		\boxtimes			
•	a detailed response to the Design for Schools Guide?				
•	where relevant, a detailed response to any School Design Review Panel comments?				
•	a schedule of mitigation measures that are specific and deliverable?				
На	s the REF addressed s171 of the EP&A Reg including the	\boxtimes			
	vironmental factors set out in the October 2024 Addendum				
	Consideration of environmental factors for health services				
	ilities and schools and s171A (if the site is located in a				
	pulated water catchment)?				
	s the REF been prepared in accordance with the Part 5	\boxtimes			
	idelines, including the October 2024 Addendum for				
	nsideration of environmental factors for health services				
	illities and schools??				
	early engagement has occurred, has the REF summarised issues raised been summarised and set out how they	\boxtimes			
	ve been considered?				
	ope	\boxtimes			
	es the REF incorporate the relevant scope, including				
	sociated works such as additional infrastructure (i.e.				
	ostation, pumping stations, roadworks, stormwater etc.)?				
	ndowner's detail and consent	\boxtimes			
If c	wned by 'education', does the REF note that the land is				
ow	ned by the Minister for Education and Early Learning				
	her than the department?				
	s landowner's consent been obtained or has the	\boxtimes			
	downer been notified of the REF?				
	te: It is the preference Landowner's consent is to be obtained				
	or to lodgement. However, where this is not possible and for any blic domain or road works on council land, the council must be				
	ified of the proposed works prior to lodgement of the REF.				
	le details	\boxtimes			
Ha	s a copy of the following been obtained to inform the REF:				
•	the certificates of title(s) for the site that is/are less than				
	six months old?				
•	the deposited plan?	\boxtimes			
•	any instruments or encumbrances registered over the	\boxtimes			
_	land?				
•	a detailed survey plan for the site that is less than 12 months old?	\boxtimes			
	sements and encumbrances	\boxtimes			
Do	the survey plan, proposed site plan and civil plans:				
•	clearly detail existing easements and encumbrances?				
•	demonstrate that no buildings, works, structures,	\boxtimes			
	earthworks, trenches or other activities would contravene				
	or impinge upon any easement or encumbrance over the				
	site unless with written approval of the easement				
DI	beneficiary?				
	ans es the REF reasonably depict the proposed activity in	\boxtimes			
	ures, plans and/or photomontages including indicative				
	tails of:				
40	overall layout?				
	 maximum height and footprint of buildings? 				
	elevational treatment of buildings?				
	 tree planting and general landscape treatment? 				
ΔH	tachments	\boxtimes			
	es the REF list documents (with revision numbers and				
	tes) that form part of the REF and are relied upon to	\boxtimes			
	sess the proposed activity?				



Requirement	Υ	N	N/A	Comments
Does the REF list include a list of all mitigation measures in	\boxtimes			
Appendix 1?	-			
Does the REF reference and list all figures and tables?				
Terminology Does the REF use appropriate terminology for a REF: • "activity" instead of "development"?				
"NSW Department of Education" shortened to "the department" instead of "School Infrastructure NSW" or "SINSW"?				
"Proponent" instead of "Applicant"?	\boxtimes			
"Mitigation measures" instead of "conditions"?	\boxtimes			
Transport and accessibility				
Does the REF include a Transport and Accessibility Impact Assessment (TAIA)?				
RTA / early consultation	\boxtimes			
Does the TAIA summarise the work undertaken as part of,				
and the findings of, a Rapid Transport Assessment (RTA)? Does the REF summarise consultation undertaken through the Transport Working Group (TWG) process, including				
issues raised by transport agencies and proposed				
responses? Existing conditions				
Does the TAIA describe the existing road network, including:				
the wider state network and local network?				
speed and parking restrictions?	\boxtimes			
public transport?	\boxtimes			
pedestrian infrastructure?	\boxtimes			
any known road safety issues?	\boxtimes			
any significant infrastructure gaps identified?	\boxtimes			
Construction traffic				
Does the TAIA:				
set out proposed construction vehicle routes and site access arrangements and estimated movements per day?				
 include a high level assessment of / conclusion that the local road network could accommodate the movements subject to appropriate management? 				
 set out parking arrangements for construction workers and conclude that sufficient parking would be available on site / proposed arrangements would avoid detrimental impacts to local roads? 				
set out whether works zones are required?				
 include a preliminary construction management plan that 				
details management and mitigation measures to minimise impacts and ensure safety of road users and pedestrians?				
Operational traffic	\boxtimes			
 Does the TAIA: estimate the expected trip generation as a result of the proposed development having regard to: 				
 proposed development having regard to: proposed number of students and staff? 				
assumed travel mode share for the school				
developed having regard to: existing mode share proposed measures to reduce car-based travel mode shares achieved for schools with similar				
use and transport characteristics?				
o data from other nearby schools / previous studies and/or census data?				
o expected distribution across the local road network?				
outline future surrounding roads/road infrastructure			\boxtimes	ILP not relevant



Re	quirement	Υ	N	N/A	Comments
	shown on a relevant Indicative Layout Plan/Masterplan and how the development responds to these?				
•	include a SIDRA analysis (or other modelling agreed through the TWG) of key nearby intersections before the proposed development (i.e. existing) and after the development at completion and 10 years after?				SIDRA not undertaken
•	include the detailed SIDRA modelling results?			\boxtimes	
•	include a conclusion that the SIDRA analysis (or alternative) demonstrates that the local road network can accommodate the additional traffic generated by the development?				
•	if there is a reduction in Level of Service (LoS) from pre to post development, does the TIA justify that this is acceptable or set out measures to mitigate the impact / accommodate the additional demand?				
•	identify how significant infrastructure gaps will be addressed?				References improvements to cycling infrastructure.
•	include an existing conditions road safety assessment if existing road safety issues were identified?				
•	identify how any known safety issues will be addressed?	\boxtimes			
Ha wh	hool Transport Plan s a School Transport Plan been included in the TAIA ich:				
•	sets out measures to reduce car-based travel?]		
•	sets achievable targets for mode shift with supporting explanation and evidence?				
•	include provisions for the monitoring and review of the plan?				
	erational Parking	\boxtimes			
	es the TAIA clearly set out:				
•	proposed car parking?	\boxtimes			
•	proposed bicycle car parking?				No FOT proposed
• D-	proposed end-of trip facilities?				No EOT proposed.
par	es the TAIA include an assessment of likely demand for king having regard to the expected/target mode share?				
	o, does the proposal meet the expected demand? doesn't match expected demand, does it include				
info ava on	ormation to demonstrate why this is acceptable? i.e. ailability of on street parking in surrounding streets based a parking demand survey to demonstrate spare capacity?				
	es the TAIA include a similar assessment of bicycle king?				
	vate vehicle drop-off and pick-up es the TAIA: describe the proposed private vehicle drop-off and pick-				
	up arrangements?				
•	identify the expected private vehicle drop-off / pick-up demand based on the expected/target mode share, number of trips / drop-offs and likely dwell time?				
•	assess the capacity of the existing / proposed private vehicle drop-off / pick-up areas to accommodate the above demand?				
	s drop-off and pick-up es the TAIA: describe the proposed bus drop-off / pick-up arrangements?	\boxtimes			
•	identify the expected bus drop-off / pick-up demand based on the expected/target mode share and likely dwell time?				
•	assess the capacity of the existing / proposed bus drop- off / pick-up to accommodate the above demand?				
Se	rvice and emergency vehicle access	\boxtimes			



Re	quirement	Υ	N	N/A	Comments
	es the TAIA:				
•	set out the proposed access arrangements for service				
	vehicles (i.e. garbage and other deliveries) and				
	emergency vehicles?				
•	set out any required mitigation or management	\boxtimes			
	measures?				
		\boxtimes			
•	assess the above arrangements and conclude that they				
01/	would not have significant impacts? erall assessment				
_		\boxtimes			
	es the TAIA:				
•	include a list of measures to mitigate the impacts of the				
	activity?				
•	conclude overall, that the activity would not be likely to	\boxtimes			
	have significant environment impacts?				
No	ise and vibration				
No	ise monitoring	\boxtimes			
	es the REF include a Noise and Vibration Impact			_	
	sessment (NVIA)?				
	es the assessment include background noise monitoring		\boxtimes		
	ocations that appropriately represent the existing noise				
	els at nearby sensitive receivers (i.e. residences,				
	rches, health facilities, etc.)?				
	es the background noise monitoring undertaken meet the			\boxtimes	
	uirements of Noise Policy for Industry (2017) i.e. at least a				
	ek with acceptable weather conditions:				
	average wind speed <5 m/s?				
•	•				
•	no rain or other extraneous noise?				
	nstruction noise	\boxtimes			
	es the assessment consider impacts from construction				
	se and vibration in accordance with the Interim				
	nstruction Noise Guideline?			_	
	es it:	\boxtimes			
•	determine noise management levels for the				
	development?				
•	predict noise levels of the proposed construction	\boxtimes			
	activities (usually of expected standard activities and				
	equipment and associated noise levels given that full				
	construction methodology will not yet be known)?				
•	conclude whether the predicted levels would exceed the	\boxtimes			
	noise management levels?				
•	set out measures to minimise impacts to sensitive	\boxtimes			
	receivers, including existing school users, and ensure				
	best practice on site?				
•	conclude whether construction noise would be likely to	\boxtimes			
	result in significant impacts?				
•	adopt standard construction hours set out in the ICNG or	\boxtimes			
	include justification where non-standard hours are				
	proposed?				
Vik	proposed:	\boxtimes			
	es the assessment include an assessment of potential				
	pacts as a result of vibration during constriction which:				
•	relevant standards and assessment criteria for human				
•	comfort, sensitive equipment and structural damage?				
•	details potential sources of vibration during construction	\boxtimes			
	having regard to typical activities and equipment				
	expected to undertake proposed construction works?				
•	consider potential impacts having regard to separation	\boxtimes			
	distances to nearby sensitive receivers?				
•	sets out measures to mitigate potential impacts,	\boxtimes			
	including existing school users?				
•	concludes that the proposed activity would not be likely	\boxtimes			
	to have significant environmental affects following				



OFFICIAL Sensitive - NSW Government

Ulladulla Public School Upgrade | REF Review Checklist | 27/03/2025 | 6 / 17

Re	quirement	Υ	N	N/A	Comments
	mitigation?				
	erational noise	\boxtimes			
Do	es the assessment:				
•	consider noise impacts from all aspects of proposed				
	operations in accordance with the Noise Policy for				
	Industry (2017) or Association of Australasian Acoustical				
	Consultants Guideline for Child Care Centre Acoustic				
	Assessment in the case of outdoor play?				
•	determine noise criteria that would be applicable?	\boxtimes			
•	consider all proposed activities, including:	\boxtimes			
	indoor learning activities?				
	outdoor play?			\boxtimes	
	o use of public address system?	\boxtimes			
	 plant and equipment (i.e. air conditioning) 	\boxtimes			
	use of the hall			\boxtimes	
	o use of outdoor sports courts			\boxtimes	
•	conclude that the proposal would meet the project noise	\boxtimes			
	trigger levels?				
•	set out mitigation measures if the proposal does not meet the trigger levels, does the assessment	\boxtimes			
Inte	ernal noise tenability				NVA notes rail and road noise or
	es the assessment:				vibration not required given site
•	consider external sources of noise in proximity to the site				context.
	(i.e. main roads or rail corridors)?				
•	detail applicable internal noise comfort criteria having		\boxtimes		
	regard to the EFSG?				
•	predict internal noise levels?		\boxtimes		
•	conclude that internal noise levels would meet criteria?		\boxtimes		
•	set out any proposed mitigation measures required to		\boxtimes		
	meet the criteria?				
Ov	erall assessment	\boxtimes			
Do	es the assessment:				
•	include a list of measures to mitigate the impacts of the				
	activity?				
•	conclude overall, that the activity would not be likely to	\boxtimes			
Do	result in significant environmental affects? es the REF list any mitigation measures identified in the				
	essment and incorporate them into the design where	\boxtimes			
	blicable (i.e. does the design include mechanical				
	itilation where this is required to achieve internal comfort				
	els)?				
	odiversity				
Do	es the REF include either:	\boxtimes			
•	a statement from a suitably qualified ecologist that the				
	proposed activity will not be carried out in a declared				
	area of outstanding biodiversity value and is not likely to				
	significantly affect threatened species, populations or				
	ecological communities, or their habitats or impact				
	biodiversity values, meaning a Species Impact Statement and/or Biodiversity Development Assessment				
	Report is not required having regard to <u>\$57.8</u> of the				
	Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (this might include a				
	statement that accompanies a Biodiversity Development				
	Assessment Report (BDAR) waiver issue ahead of a				
	previously proposed SSD application); or				
•	a SIS; and/or				
•	a BDAR?				
	tatement that the proposed activity is not likely to have a	\boxtimes			
	nificant impact on matters of national environmental				
	nificance, or on the environment of Commonwealth land,				
	I therefore referral to the Minister under the EPBC Act is				
not	required?				

Requirement	Υ	N	N/A	Comments
Note: Contact the Statutory Planning team if impacts are likely.			Am	Comments
If the development is on biodiversity certified land, does the			\boxtimes	
REF include information to identify the site (using associated				
mapping) and demonstrate the proposed development is				
consistent with the relevant biodiversity measure conferred				
by the biodiversity certification?				
Does the REF list any mitigation measures identified in the	\boxtimes			
assessment and incorporate them into the design where				
applicable?				
Flooding				
Flood Hazard	\boxtimes			
Does the REF include either:				
 information that demonstrates that the site and key 				
access routes are free of flood risk; or				
a Flood Risk and Impact Assessment (FIRA)?				
If a FIRA has been prepared, does it:	\boxtimes			
· · ·			Ш	
state that it has been prepared in accordance with the undeted Floodplain Management Manual and Toolkit				
updated Floodplain Management Manual and Toolkit,				
including Planning Circular PD24-001?				
detail consultation undertaken with the local council and any relevant agencies (i.e. State Emergency Service) to	\boxtimes			
any relevant agencies (i.e. State Emergency Service) to				
identify existing, draft and proposed flood studies				
relevant to the site?				
describe the flood potential of the site and key access				
routes having regard to available flood studies and				
information, the conditions of the site, and the types of				
flood:				
o mainstream flooding?	-			
o overland flows?	\boxtimes			
o flash flooding?	\boxtimes			
 describe the key flood mechanisms? 	\boxtimes			
include flood modelling showing flood extent, levels,	\boxtimes			
depths, velocities and hazard classifications for all				
relevant events, including:				
o 1% AEP / 1 in 100yr?	\boxtimes			
o 5% AEP / 1 in 20yr?	\boxtimes			
o 10% AEP / 1 in 10yr?				
·				
o 0.2% AEP / 1 in 500yr?				
o 0.02% AEP / 1 in 5000yr?	\boxtimes			
o PMF?	\boxtimes			
consider the timeframe for flood waters to inundate the	\boxtimes			
site and timeframe for water to hit peak levels?				
consider the impacts of climate change on future flood	\boxtimes			
frequency and levels?				
Risk / impact of flood on the activity	\boxtimes			
If a FIRA has been prepared, does it:				
determine whether the proposal is in a high-risk				
catchment?				
the location of the proposal in relation to flood behaviour	\boxtimes			
and constraints including floodway, flood storage area or				
flood fringe area?				
the hazard vulnerability classification of the land?	\boxtimes			
•				
frequency of inundation?				
whether the proposal provides for safe occupation and	\boxtimes			
efficient and effective evacuation in flood events and how				
it is to be achieved?	_	_	_	
 in high-risk catchments, whether the proposal is likely to 	\boxtimes			
result in a significant increase to the risk to life in other				
parts of the catchment in a PMF flood event?				
any known evacuation constraints such as the flood	\boxtimes			
emergency response classification for the area and				



Re	quirement	Υ	N	N/A	Comments
	available warning times (including rate of rise and when the evacuation route is cut off by floodwater)?				
•	whether the proposal is for a sensitive or hazardous land use, or other higher risk uses and what mitigation strategies (if any) are proposed to reduce any identified risks?				
lm	pact of the activity on flood outside of the site	\boxtimes			
	FIRA has been prepared, does it address the matters to				
	nsider set out in PS-24-001, including has it determined:				
•	potential impacts of cut and fill and other building works				
	on flood behaviour?				
•	whether there may be adverse flooding impacts on	\boxtimes			
_	surrounding properties?				
•	ability of proposed development to withstand flood	\boxtimes			
_	impacts?				
Ru	ilding and structure design	\boxtimes			
	FIRA has been prepared, does it:				
•	nominate a flood planning level (minimum floor level plus				
•	freeboard) for proposed buildings?				
	, , , , ,				
•	recommend any other mitigations such as flood resistant	\boxtimes			
FE	materials or structural requirements?				
	ne site or key access routes are impacted by flood waters,				
	es the REF include a preliminary Flood Emergency				
	sponse Plan (FERP) that has been prepared in				
	nsultation with NSW SES?	_			
Do	es the plan clearly and simply detail:	\boxtimes			
•	the flood potential of the site?				
•	detail roles and responsibilities across the department	\boxtimes			
	and relevant emergency response agencies?				
•	flood monitoring and warning systems consistent with	\boxtimes			
	advice received to date from NSW SES?				
•	flood warning times and notifications?	\boxtimes			
•	emergency management triggers, including rainfall and	\boxtimes			
	water levels?				
•	the emergency response to a flood event or events	\boxtimes			
	where different flood mechanisms impact a site?				
•	messaging and communication protocols?	\boxtimes			
•	assembly points and flood free routes (where required)?	\boxtimes			
•	shelter in place locations (where required as last resort)	\boxtimes			
	that are able to withstand flood and debris forces of the				
	PMF?				
•	mechanisms and requirements for regular review?	\boxtimes			
•	awareness training for employees, contractors, visitors, students and caregivers and induction of new staff	\boxtimes			
	members?				
C0	nclusion				
	es the FIRA:	\boxtimes		Ш	
•	conclude that the proposal would not be likely to result in				
	significant environmental effects?				
•	list any mitigation measures identified in the	\boxtimes			
D-	assessment?				
	es the REF list any mitigation measures identified in the				
	sessment and incorporate them into the design where				
	blicable (i.e. flood resistant structures and materials)?				
	shfire				
Do	es the REF include either:	\boxtimes			
•	information that demonstrates that the site is not mapped				
	as bushfire prone and is not adjacent to a potential				
	bushfire hazard; or				
•	a Bushfire Assessment (BA)?				



Requirement	Υ	N	N/A	Comments
If a BA has been prepared, does it:	\boxtimes			
assess the immediately adjoining bushfire hazard in				
accordance with Planning for Bush Fire Protection				
(PBP)?				
consider bushfire in the wider landscape context and	\boxtimes			
potential impacts to key access routes or surrounding				
communities that may impact the activity?				
identify bushfire protection measures required under	\boxtimes			
PBP?				
confirm if the activity can comply with the required	\boxtimes			
bushfire protection measures, including:				
o provision of minimum asset protection zones (APZs)	\boxtimes			
with all buildings outside of the APZs?				
 minimum construction requirements for buildings? 	\boxtimes			
Note:				
- Table 2, Appendix B of the Addendum November 2022 to PBP				
requires school buildings on bushfire prone land to be built to a minimum of BAL-19.				
- The NSW RFS has advised that BAL-Low does not apply to school				
and similar developments under PBP. If the consultant considers				
that no construction standard applies, the report should state 'no				
requirements' in order to avoid further information requests from the NSW RFS.				
o access roads?			П	
 provision of a perimeter road between the buildings 				
and the bush fire hazard?				
water provision?	\boxtimes			
o design of utilities?				
o landscaping?				
Conclusion				
Does the BA:				
conclude that the proposal would not be likely to result in				
significant environmental effects?				
list any mitigation measures identified in the	\boxtimes			
assessment?				
Does the REF list any mitigation measures identified in the	\boxtimes			
assessment and incorporate them into the design where				
applicable (i.e. APZs, BAL-19 construction?				
Contamination				
Have either of the following been prepared to inform the	\boxtimes			
REF:				
 a Preliminary Site Investigation (PSI) and/or Detailed 				
Site Investigation (DSI) that conclude that there is a low				
risk of contamination and that the site is suitable for the				
use of the site as a school; or				
a PSI and/or DSI and a Remediation Action Plan (RAP)?				
Does the PSI, DSI and RAP address all the potential sources	\boxtimes			
of contamination mentioned in the various report?				
If the DSI or RAP identifies that limited further testing is			\boxtimes	No further testing is
required, has this been incorporated as a mitigation measure				recommended.
in the REF?				
If remediation is required, does the REF determine if the				
remediation is Category 1 or 2 having regarded to the				
Hazards and Resilience SEPP?				
Does the REF include an interim statement from a Site				
Auditor confirming that the RAP is appropriate?	<u> </u>			
If no interim statement, does the RAP set out actions to				
remediate all potential sources of contamination?	-			
Does the REF summarise investigations undertaken and	\boxtimes			
conclude that contamination risk has been appropriately				
addressed in accordance with the Hazards and Resilience				
SEPP?				
Has the PSI, DSI and/or RAP concluded that the proposal	\boxtimes			



	quirement	Y	N	N/A	Comments
effe	uld not be likely to result in significant environmental ects as a result of contamination and/or contamination				
	nagement?				
	es the REF list any mitigation measures identified in the sessment and incorporate them into the design where	\boxtimes			
	licable?				
	vironmental heritage				
	ritage items			П	
If:	nage nems				
•	any portion of the site is a listed heritage item on the department's s170 register, in the Local Environmental Plan (LEP) or on the State Heritage Register (SHR); or				
•	there is a place listed on any s170 register, LEP or SHR immediately near the site; or				
•	the school site in a heritage conservation area; or				
•	the site has been previously assessed as having				
	heritage significance even if no statutory listing has been provided;				
sup	s a heritage impact statement (HIS) been prepared to port the REF?				
	HIS has been prepared, does the HIS:	\boxtimes			
•	provide a clear assessment of heritage significance against the NSW guidelines for Assessing Heritage Significance?				
•	include an assessment of the degree of impact (physical and visual) to identified heritage items?				
•	assess the impacts of the proposal to be less then minimal?				
•	detail consultation with council if the impacts were assessed as more than minimal?			\boxtimes	
•	identify whether additional Heritage Act approvals (s60 permits) are required if the item is on the SHR?			\boxtimes	
•	include adequate project justification and analysis of			\boxtimes	
	design options to show that the heritage impacts were not avoidable (if the impacts were assessed as more				
	than minimal)?				N
•	set out appropriate mitigation measures?			\boxtimes	No mitigation recommended.
	chaeology es the REF and/or HIS:				
•	consider the potential for archaeological relics either in a HIS or through existing regional planning documentation or similar?				
•	include an evidence-based archaeological assessment, including a clear grading of the potential for		\boxtimes		
	archaeological remains to be identified, and what their archaeological significance is?				
If a	n archaeological assessment was undertaken has:		\boxtimes		
•	the assessment been informed by historic archaeological				
	test excavation (where necessary)?				
•	the assessment identified impacts to archaeological relics?				
•	archaeological monitoring or test excavation been proposed under a self-approved s139(4) Exception, and if so, has an Exception Record of Use Form been submitted and signed?				
•	is a permit under the Heritage Act (s140 / s60), approved by Heritage NSW, required to authorise impacts to relics?				
•	set out appropriate mitigation measures required to give effect to any mitigations from the archaeological assessment?		\boxtimes		
Ab	original cultural heritage				



Requirement	Y	N	N/A	Comments
Does the REF either include:	\boxtimes			
 confirmation that the activity does not include ground 				
disturbing works or removal of mature vegetation; or				
 an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Due Diligence (DD), a 				
Preliminary Indigenous Heritage Assessment Impact				
(PIHAI) and/or an Archaeological Survey Report (ASR)				
which identifies no harm to Aboriginal objects or places				
would occur; or				
 an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report 				
(ACHAR)?				
Note: where a DD / PIHAI / ASR has been prepared and it identifies				
that Aboriginal objects or places are likely to be impacted, an				
ACHAR must be prepared. Where an ASR has been prepared, has it assessed the				
archaeological nature and significance of Aboriginal sites				
within the study area (through survey / test excavation)?				
Where an ACHAR has been prepared, has it been			\boxtimes	
undertaken in accordance with the OEH consultation				
guidelines?				
Where an ACHAR has been prepared, has it completed the			\boxtimes	
mandatory steps, including:	_		2_3	
 agencies contacted to identify relevant parties; 				
 an advert placed in local paper to invite registrations of 				
interest;				
invitations to register issued to potential stakeholder				
groups;				
 methodology issued to RAPs and invited to comment; 				
and				
 draft ACHAR sent to RAPs and invited to comment? 				
Where an ACHAR has been prepared:			\boxtimes	
 have all comments provided by RAPs been addressed 				
and actioned (where possible) in the ACHAR?				
 did the ASR or ACHAR assess the archaeological nature 			\boxtimes	
and significance of Aboriginal sites within the study area				
(through survey / test excavation)?				
assess impacts of the proposed works?			\boxtimes	
indicate that an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP)			\boxtimes	
is required?				
Has the REF and/or supporting documents:	\boxtimes			
 included a list of measures to mitigate the impacts of the 				
activity?				
 concluded that the proposal would not be likely to result 	\boxtimes			
in significant environmental effects?				
Built form and urban design				
If the project has a value over \$50M, has it been presented			\boxtimes	
at School Design Review Panel (SDRP)?	_			
If presented to SDRP, have comments from the Panel been:			\boxtimes	
 summarised in the REF / Design Report? 				
 appropriately considered, incorporated into the design 			\boxtimes	
(where appropriate) and responded to in the REF /				
Architectural Design Report?				
Does the Design Report:	\boxtimes			
 explain how the proposed layout, building and facade 				
design appropriately considers and respond to the				
existing / likely future / preferred character of the				
streetscape?				
address the design quality principles in the T&I SEPP	\boxtimes			
and the design principles set out in the Design Guide for				
Schools?				
 explain how the height of the proposed development is 	\boxtimes			
appropriate in consideration of the site context and form				
of surrounding development?		1	1	1



Requirement	Υ	N	N/A	Comments
Environmental amenity				
Overshadowing	\boxtimes			
Does the REF:				
include shadow diagrams?	\boxtimes			
discuss impacts from overshadowing impacts?	\boxtimes			
 conclude that the proposal would have no significant impacts? 				
if the proposal results in overshadowing of windows or private open space of residential properties, does the REF demonstrate maintenance of at least two hours of daylight as required by the Apartment Design Guide or otherwise in accordance with the applicable Planning principles?				
Privacy	\boxtimes			
Does the REF consider potential privacy impacts of the proposed works and conclude that these would not be likely to result in significant effects with or without mitigation measures?				
Visual impacts Does the REF assess potential visual impacts of the proposed works and conclude that impacts would not be significant with or without mitigation measures?				
Visual impacts (view sharing) – private views If the activity has the potential to obstruct existing significant views from private property, does the REF include an assessment of the proposal in accordance with the Tenacity Principles including as assessment of the: • type of views affected; • parts of the property the views are obtained; • extent of the impact; and • reasonableness of the proposal causing the impact?				
Does the assessment conclude overall, that the proposal would not be likely to result in significant environmental			\boxtimes	
effects?				
Visual impacts (view sharing) – public views If the activity has the potential to obstruct existing significant views from public land, does the REF include an assessment in accordance with the established planning principles (i.e. principles established by the Land and Environment Court in Rose Bay Marina Pty Limited v Woollahra Municipal Council and anor [2013] NSWLEC 1046 (principles of view sharing: the impact on the public domain), including: • an assessment of: • nature and scope of the existing views from public domain; • locations in the public domain from which potentially interrupted view is enjoyed • extent of the obstruction at each relevant location; • intensity of public use of those locations where that enjoyment will be obscured, in whole or in part, by the proposed activity; • whether there is any document that identifies the importance of the view to be assessed; and • a quantitative and qualitative evaluation of the impacts?				
Does the REF list any mitigation measures identified in the above assessments and incorporate them into the design where applicable?			\boxtimes	
Air quality				
If the site is adjacent to a significant potential source of air pollution (i.e. motorway, airport or hazardous industry), has the REF been informed by either of the following by a suitably qualified person: • a statement that air quality at the site is suitable for the			\boxtimes	

Requirement	Y	N	N/A	Comments	
proposed use based on expert advice and/or existing data;					
an air quality assessment? Note: Reference may need to be given to Development Near Rail Corridors					
and Busy Roads – Interim Guideline in the above					
If an air quality assessment is prepared, does it:			\boxtimes		
 conclude that air quality is suitable for the proposed use with or without migration? 					
 indicate impacts generated by the proposed activity and suitable mitigation measures? 					
Does the REF summarise the assessment and list any mitigation measures identified in the above assessments and incorporate them into the design where applicable?			\boxtimes		
Trees and landscaping					
Has an Aboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) been	\boxtimes				
prepared to support the REF which assesses existing trees within the proposed works area, including street trees, and recommends tree protection measures for trees to be retained?					
Does the REF discuss the number, species, pot sizes and height of trees to be removed and trees to be planted?	\boxtimes				
Have any tree protection measures set out in the AIA been	\boxtimes				
incorporated in:					
the design;					
REF mitigation measures; and					
the preliminary construction methodology?					
Social Impact					
Does the REF include an assessment of the social impacts of					
the proposed activity comprising either:Sites identified for a school in a Voluntary Planning					
Agreement (VPA), Concept Approval, Precinct Plan,					
Indicative Layout Plan, adopted Masterplan, or other					
adopted strategic planning document, together with					
upgrades to existing schools - Social impact addressed					
in REF by the consultant town planner (i.e. no					
standalone SIA report).					
 New schools where land has not been identified as a school in a strategic planning document or VPA etc - 					
Social impact addressed in the REF by consultant town					
planner (or suitably experienced), or as necessary, in a					
stand-alone report.					
Schools subject to closures or mergers, or where there is					
loss of, or substantial change to, community					
infrastructure: Comprehensive SIA as a separate report					
prepared by suitably experienced consultant.					
Ecologically sustainable development					
Does the ESD Report set sustainability targets for the activity in line with the department's commitments, including:					
Green Star Buildings certification for projects with					
>1000m2 new building and >\$10m EDC of 5 Star for					
Sydney, Wollongong and Newcastle metro or 4 Star for					
rest of NSW					
Operational energy and potable water intensity targets					
for the activity? If Green Star Buildings certification is required, does the ESD					
Report include:	\boxtimes				
the Green Star registration number for the project, and					
a Green Star Building pathway showing how activity will					
achieve the required number of credit points to certify?					
If applicable under the Sustainable Buildings SEPP, has an	\boxtimes			Embodied emissions form has	
NABERS embodied emissions material form been included in the FSD Report?				been prepared.	



OFFICIAL Sensitive - NSW Government

Ulladulla Public School Upgrade | REF Review Checklist | 27/03/2025 | 14 / 17

Requirement	Υ	N	N/A	Comments
Does the ESD report include a Climate Change Risk	\boxtimes			
Assessment and Adaptation Plan?				
For sites identified as any high or extreme risks in the			\boxtimes	
Climate Change Risk Assessment and Adaptation Plan, have				
design responses been identified to been incorporated into				
the project to mitigate the risks?				
Does the ESD Report adequately address how the activity	\boxtimes			
will:				
 minimise waste from associated demolition and 				
construction;				
 minimise peak electricity demand; 				
 minimise overall energy use through passive design; 				
 generate and store renewable energy; 				
 minimise consumption of potable water; and 				
 meter and monitor energy and water consumption and 				
energy generation?				
Does the ESD Report include a Net Zero Action Plan / Net	\boxtimes			
Zero in operations plan (exact name TBA) that adequately				
addresses how the activity has been designed to eliminate				
use of fossil fuels during operations, or how the use of fossil				
fuels will be minimised and will be eliminated by 2035?				
Staging				
If the project is to be staged, does the REF include			\boxtimes	
preliminary details on how construction and operations will				
be managed during each stage of the development, including				
the following for each stage:				
 operational areas and areas still under construction? 				
student/staff numbers?			\boxtimes	
operational and construction access and parking			\boxtimes	
arrangements?				
open space provision?			\boxtimes	
measures to ensure acceptable amenity for students and			\boxtimes	
staff in areas adjacent to ongoing construction?				
measures to ensure the safety and security of students			\boxtimes	
and staff?			<u> </u>	
Has each relevant technical report (transport and acoustic			\boxtimes	
reports at a minimum) assessed the proposed staging and				
concluded that it would not be likely to result in significant				
environmental affects, including cumulative affects?				
Does the REF list any mitigation measures identified as a			\boxtimes	
result of the proposed staging?				
Utilities				
Does the REF broadly set out how the proposal will be	\boxtimes			
serviced by necessary services and utilities?				
Does the REF assess any works required to provide	\boxtimes			
necessary services and utilities and conclude that these				
would not have significant environmental affects?				
If on site water treatment is required, does the REF include			\boxtimes	
an on-side waste water management plan / land capability				
assessment that concludes that the site would be capable of				
accommodating wastewater without significant affects on the				
environment?				
If temporary arrangements are required (i.e. generator), does			\boxtimes	
the REF assess any potential temporary environmental				
effects as a result of the arrangements and conclude that				
significant effects would not be likely?				
Stormwater drainage				
Has a stormwater management plan been prepared that:	\boxtimes			
considers and complies with council's applicable				
engineering specifications, including requirement for on-				
site detention and water quality treatment?				
demonstrates that the proposed stormwater	\square		П	



Requirement	Y	N	N/A	Comments
management system would not increase runoff from the				
site (i.e. that post-development flows do not exceed pre- development flows)?				
demonstrates that the stormwater management system	\boxtimes			
would discharge to a legal point of discharge?				
conclude that stormwater would be managed so that the	\boxtimes			
proposal would not be likely to have significant				
environmental affects?				
Does the REF summarise the proposed stormwater				
management strategy and conclude that the activity would not be likely to have significant environmental impacts as a				
result of stormwater management with or without mitigation				
measures?				
Soil and water				
If the site is mapped as, or has otherwise been identified, as			\boxtimes	
having salinity potential, does the geotechnical report				
consider impacts from salinity and set out measures to				
mitigate impacts (i.e. Salinity Management Plan) so that they				
would not be significant?				
If the site is mapped as, or has otherwise been identified as			\boxtimes	
having acid sulfate soils (ASS) potential, does the geotechnical report consider impacts from ASS and set out				
measures to mitigate impacts (i.e. ASS Management Plan)				
so that they would not be significant?				
If the site is mapped as being in an area of groundwater				
vulnerability, does the REF include an Integrated Water				
Management Plan that assess the potential of the activity to				
impact groundwater and does it conclude that the activity				
would not be likely to have significant environmental impacts with or without mitigation measures?				
If the site is mapped as being in an area of landslide risk,			\boxtimes	
does the REF assess the potential of the activity and does it				
conclude that the activity would not be likely to have				
significant environmental impacts with or without mitigation				
measures?				
Has an Erosion and Sediment Control plan been prepared to		\boxtimes		
inform the REF that includes:				
 a plan(s) detailing: property boundaries, existing levels of the land in 				
o property boundaries, existing levels of the land in relation to the building, roads and where stormwater				
surface flows enter and leave the site?				
o the location of stabilised construction access points?		\boxtimes		
o the location of perimeter sediment/erosion controls?		\boxtimes		
o any 'no-go' areas that are not to be disturbed?		\boxtimes		
o location of stockpile areas?		\boxtimes		
 location of proposed temporary and permanent site 		\boxtimes		
drainage?				
specific measures to be implemented to prevent pollution		\boxtimes		
of stormwater off the site?				
Does the REF summarise the proposed controls and		\boxtimes		Erosion and Sed plan to be
incorporate any mitigation measures identified in the above documents?				prepared as a MM.
Waste management				
Has a preliminary Construction Waste Management Plan				
been prepared that informs the REF that considers:				
the likely type and volume of waste generated by the				
activity?				
opportunities to reuse and recycle waste in order to	\boxtimes			
reduce waste sent to landfill?				
set out measures to handle and dispose of the waste?	\boxtimes			
conclude that appropriate arrangements can be put in	\boxtimes			
place such that there would not be likely to have				



Ulladulla Public School Upgrade | REF Review Checklist | 27/03/2025 | 16 / 17

Requirement	Υ	N	N/A	Comments
significant environmental affects?				
Has a preliminary Operational Waste Management Plan	\boxtimes			
been prepared to inform the REF that considers:				
the likely type and volume of waste generated by the				
activity?				
opportunities to reuse and recycle waste in order to	\boxtimes			
reduce waste sent to landfill?				
set out measures to handle and dispose of the waste	\boxtimes			
including the number of bins, siting and size of the waste				
storage area, and truck access arrangements (including				
swept path diagrams to demonstrate access can be				
achieved in a forward direction)?				
Council's waste management policies, if applicable?	\boxtimes			
conclude that appropriate arrangements can be put in	\boxtimes			
place such that there would not be likely to have	<u> </u>		_	
significant environmental affects?				
Does the REF summarise outcomes of the above and	\boxtimes			
incorporate any mitigation measures identified in the above				
documents?				
Aviation				
If the proposal is located within the Obstacle Limitation				
Surface (OLS) or is in close proximity to an aviation facility,				
including helicopter landing sites, has a statement from a				
suitably qualified person assessing the proposed activity been prepared to inform the REF?				
Does the statement:			\square	
describe the nearby aviation facility? any relevant policies, presenting an entrely that apply			\boxtimes	
 any relevant policies, procedures or controls that apply to development works on the site? 				
•				
assess any potential impacts from the activity, including prepared buildings an existing apprehiens?			\boxtimes	
proposed buildings, on aviation operations?				
assess any potential impacts of the proposed				
construction activities, including use of cranes, on				
aviation operations?				
describe any consultant with the relevant airport, CASA are other relevant suicities, suith arity?		Ш	\boxtimes	
or other relevant aviation authority?				
advise if any approvals are required under aviation			\boxtimes	
legislation?				
conclude that the proposal is appropriate and would not			\boxtimes	
have detrimental impacts on aviation safety or				
operations? Does the REF summarise outcomes of the above and				
			\boxtimes	
incorporate any mitigation measures identified in the above documents?				
Signs				
Does the REF include:			\boxtimes	
an assessment of the proposed signs against the				
Chapter 3 Advertising and Signage, under SEPP				
(Industry and Employment) 2021a site plan and				
elevations of any proposed signs that clearly depict the				
location, type, content and appearance of any proposed				
signs that form part of the REF activity?				
Other				
If the site is located in a coastal management or sensitivity	\boxtimes			Addressed within REF.
area, does the REF include a Coastal Management				
Assessment that assesses the likely impacts of the activity				
on coastal values and concludes that these would not be				
likely to be significant with or without mitigation?				
If the site is in proximity to a gas or oil pipeline, petrol station,			\boxtimes	
LPG storage, landfill or other hazardous use, does the REF				
include a Preliminary Hazard Assessment that assesses the				
LIISK TO THE DIODOSED ACTIVITY AND CONCILIDES THAT THE FISK IS	- 1	1	1	1



OFFICIAL Sensitive - NSW Government

Ulladulla Public School Upgrade | REF Review Checklist | 27/03/2025 | 17 / 17

Requirement	Y	N	N/A	Comments
acceptable according to any relevant assessment				
framework?				
If the site is in a Mine Subsidence District or Mine			\boxtimes	
Subsidence Risk Area, does it include a Mine Subsidence				
Statement and Advisory Board Approval or Mine Subsidence				
Risk Report (as appropriate?				
If the site is in proximity to high voltage power lines or			\boxtimes	
telecommunication towers, does the REF include an				
Electromagnetic Field Report that assesses the risk to the				
proposed activity and concludes that the risk is acceptable				
according to any relevant assessment framework?				
If the site is located in an area of risk of unexploded			\boxtimes	
ordinance, does the REF include an Unexploded Ordinance				
Risk Assessment that assesses the risk to the proposed				
activity and concludes that the risk is acceptable according to				
any relevant assessment framework?				
If the proposed activity includes a proposed government			\boxtimes	
preschool, does the REF include a report that details how the				
proposed activity complies with Part 4 of the Child Care				
Planning Guideline September 2021?				

Completion

	Name and position	Signature	Date
Prepared:	Rosie Sutcliffe Project Town Planning Consultant	Rhebfle.	27/03/2025
Reviewed:	Alex Jellie Project Manager	A Jellie	27/03/2025

